arXiv:1601.04572v1 [math.DG] 18 Jan 2016

COSYMPLECTIC AND α-COSYMPLECTIC LIE ALGEBRAS

GIOVANNI CALVARUSO AND ANTONELLA PERRONE

Abstract. We completely characterize cosymplectic and α-cosymplectic Lie algebras in terms of corresponding symplectic Lie algebras and suitable derivations on them. Several examples are given and classification results are obtained in dimension five for cosymplectic, K-cosymplectic and coKähler Lie algebras.

1. Introduction

A *cosymplectic manifold*, as originally defined by Libermann [15] on 1958, is a smooth manifold, of dimension $2n+1$, admitting a closed 1-form η and a closed 2-form ω, such that $\eta \wedge \omega^n$ is a volume form. Different but related definitions of "cosymplectic manifolds", involving an almost contact metric structure, were given in [4] and [14], and we may refer to Remark 2.3 for an illustration of these distinct definitions and their interplay.

When the cosymplectic structure $(\eta, \omega = \Phi)$ arises from the 1-form η and the fundamental 2-form Φ of an almost contact metric manifold $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$, we have an *almost coKähler manifold*. Among almost coKähler manifolds, subclasses of special interest are the ones of *K-cosymplectic manifolds*, defined by the property that the Reeb vector field ξ is Killing, and *coKähler manifolds*, that is, normal almost coKähler manifolds. Cosymplectic manifolds and their above cited subclasses have been recently investigated by several authors. Some examples of these studies may be found in [3], [9], [11], [14] and references therein, and we may refer to the recent survey [7] for a thorough presentation of cosymplectic manifolds and related topics.

Locally conformally cosymplectic structures are almost cosymplectic structures, which lie in the conformal class of a cosymplectic structure. Several results have been obtained for locally conformally cosymplectic structures arising from an almost contact metric structure, and in particular for the related notion of *almost α-Kenmotsu structures* (see for example [10] and references therein). At the same time, up to our knowledge, a systematic approach to the metric-less case has not been done yet.

Following the same scheme of the cosymplectic case, by an *α-cosymplectic structure* we mean an almost cosymplectic structure (η, ω), formed by a closed 1-form η and a 2-form ω satisfying $d\omega = 2\alpha\eta\wedge\omega$ for some real constant α. They are a natural object to study. In fact, we shall see that left-invariant α-cosymplectic structures on Lie groups are all and the ones locally conformally cosymplectic structures with a closed 1-form.

The aim of this paper is to describe the general structure of cosymplectic Lie algebras (equivalently, of left-invariant cosymplectic structures on Lie groups) and of

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C15, 53C12, 53C25, 22E25.

Key words and phrases. (Almost) cosymplectic structures, α-cosymplectic structures, (almost) coKähler structures, K-cosymplectic structures, (almost) α-Kenmotsu structures.

α-cosymplectic Lie algebras, and related subclasses. We begin in Section 2 introducing some basic definitions and results on this topic. In Section 3 we shall discuss the relationships between (almost) cosymplectic, K-cosymplectic and (almost) coKähler structures on odd-dimensional Lie algebras, and their generalizations given by α-cosymplectic and (almost) α-coKähler Lie algebras, and corresponding properties on their even-dimensional subalgebras determined by the kernel of the 1-form η, also providing several explicit examples. Isomorphisms between such different types of Lie algebras will be characterized in Section 4. In Section 5 we then apply these results to classify some remarkable classes of cosymplectic Lie algebras in dimension five.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. **Cosymplectic structures.** We report below some basic information on cosymplectic structures, referring to the recent survey [7] for more details.

Definition 2.1. An *almost cosymplectic structure* on a smooth manifold M of odd dimension $2n+1$ is a pair (η, ω), where η is a 1-form and ω is a 2-form, such that $\eta \wedge \omega^n$ is a volume form on M. When $d\eta = d\omega = 0$, the structure is said to be *cosymplectic*.

Any almost cosymplectic structure (η, ω) uniquely determines a smooth vector field ξ on M, called the *Reeb vector field* of the almost cosymplectic manifold (M, η, ω), completely characterized by conditions

$$\eta(\xi) = 1, \qquad i_\xi \omega = 0 \ \text{(that is, } \xi \in \ker \omega).$$

Almost coKähler and coKähler structures are special cases of cosymplectic structures, where tensors η and ω are related to the existence of an almost contact metric structure (see Definition 2.2 and Remark 2.3). An *almost contact structure* (φ, ξ, η) on a $(2n+1)$-dimensional smooth manifold M is formed by a $(1,1)$-tensor φ, a global vector field ξ and a 1-form η, satisfying

$$\eta(\xi) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi^2 = -Id + \eta \otimes \xi \tag{2.1}$$

(which necessarily yield $\varphi(\xi) = 0$ and $\eta \circ \varphi = 0$). A Riemannian metric g on M is said to be *compatible* with the almost contact structure (φ, ξ, η) when

$$g(\varphi X, \varphi Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y). \tag{2.2}$$

In this case, (φ, ξ, η, g) is said to be an *almost contact metric structure*. The *fundamental 2-form* Φ of an almost contact metric structure (φ, ξ, η, g) is defined by $\Phi(X, Y) = g(X, \varphi Y)$, for all tangent vector fields X, Y.

It is a remarkable fact that for any almost contact metric structure (φ, ξ, η, g), one has $\eta \wedge \Phi^n \neq 0$ (which leads to the orientability of any almost contact metric manifold [5, p. 23]). Thus, in particular *any almost contact metric structure* (φ, ξ, η, g) *yields an almost cosymplectic structure*, given by (η, Φ). A sort of converse result also holds, in the sense that for any almost cosymplectic manifold (M, η, ω), one can construct by polarization an almost contact metric structure (φ, ξ, η, g) on M, with the same η and ξ, whose fundamental 2-form is given by ω (see Theorem 3.3 in [7]) .

An almost contact metric structure (φ, ξ, η, g) is said to be *normal* if the almost complex structure J on $M \times \mathbb{R}$, defined by

$$J\left(X, f\frac{d}{dt}\right) = \left(\varphi X - f\xi, \eta(X)\frac{d}{dt}\right),$$

is integrable. It is well known [5] that the integrability of the above J is equivalent to

$$N_\varphi + 2d\eta \otimes \xi = 0, \tag{2.3}$$

where N_φ denotes the *Nijenhuis tensor* of φ. Moreover, we note that if an almost contact metric structure (φ, ξ, η, g) satisfies $d\eta = 0$, the normality condition (2.3) reduces to $N_\varphi = 0$. We recall the following.

Definition 2.2. An *almost coKähler manifold* is an almost contact metric manifold $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$, such that (η, Φ) is a cosymplectic structure. If in addition the almost contact structure is normal, then M is called a *coKähler manifold*.

Remark 2.3. Some explanations are required here, since different authors used different names for these structures. CoKähler manifolds were introduced and first studied by Blair [4] under the name of "cosymplectic manifolds". Since then, several authors used this name for coKähler manifolds (see for example [9],[11]), although cosymplectic structures, in the wider but related sense reported in the above Definition 2.1, had been previously introduced by Libermann [15, 16]. Moreover, since the work [14], in several papers "cosymplectic manifolds" denote *almost* coKähler manifolds as defined in Definition 2.2.

In this paper we are following the notations used in [7], which seem well suited to avoid misunderstanding among all these different but related classes.

Just like in the contact metric case, an important intermediate class arises between the ones of almost coKähler and coKähler structures, by requiring that the Reeb vector field is Killing [3].

Definition 2.4. An almost coKähler manifold $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is said to be *K-cosymplectic* if its Reeb vector field ξ is Killing.

It is easily seen that any coKähler manifold is K-cosymplectic, while the converse does not hold [3]. Moreover, Proposition 2.2 and Corollary 2.4 in [3] yield the following characterization.

Proposition 2.5. [3] *For an almost coKähler manifold $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$, the following properties are equivalent:*

(i) *$(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is K-cosymplectic (that is, ξ is Killing);*

(ii) *$N^{(3)} = \mathcal{L}_\xi \varphi = 0$, where $\mathcal{L}$ denotes the Lie derivative;*

(iii) *ξ is parallel (that is, $\nabla \xi = 0$, where ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection of g).*

2.2. **α-cosymplectic structures.** An almost cosymplectic structure (η, ω) on an odd-dimensional manifold M is said to be *locally conformally cosymplectic* if for any point $p \in M$ there exists an open neighborhood U of p and a smooth function $\sigma : U \to \mathbb{R}$, such that

$$d(e^{-\sigma}\eta|_U) = 0 \qquad \text{and} \qquad d(e^{-2\sigma}\omega|_U) = 0.$$

If the above equations hold globally on M, then (η, ω) is said to be *globally conformally cosymplectic*.

Following the scheme of the locally conformally symplectic case (see [13], [20]), we obtain the following characterization.

Theorem 2.6. *An almost cosymplectic structure (η,ω) is locally conformally cosymplectic if and only if there exists a globally defined closed 1-form $\tilde{\eta}$, such that*

$$d\eta = \tilde{\eta}\wedge\eta \qquad \text{and} \qquad d\omega = 2\tilde{\eta}\wedge\omega, \tag{2.4}$$

and (η,ω) is globally conformally cosymplectic if and only if $\tilde{\eta}$ is exact.

In particular, (η,ω) is locally conformally cosymplectic with η closed if and only if there exists some smooth function $f: M\to\mathbb{R}$, such that $d\omega = 2f\eta\wedge\omega$.

Proof. If (η,ω) is locally conformally cosymplectic, then on each neighborhood U, from $d(e^{-\sigma}\eta|_U)=0$ and $d(e^{-2\sigma}\omega|_U)=0$ we have at once $d\eta=\tilde{\eta}_U\wedge\eta$ and $d\omega = 2\tilde{\eta}_U\wedge\omega$, where we put $\tilde{\eta}_U = d\sigma$. Denote by U and V, $U\cap V\neq 0$, two neighborhoods which satisfy the above conditions. Since (η,ω) is almost cosymplectic, ω is nondegenerate. Therefore, as $(\tilde{\eta}_U-\tilde{\eta}_V)\wedge\omega=0$ we conclude that $\tilde{\eta}_U=\tilde{\eta}_V$ on $U\cap V$. Hence, we have a global 1-form $\tilde{\eta}$ on M satisfying (2.4), uniquely determined by $\tilde{\eta}|_U=\tilde{\eta}_U$ (which also ensures that $\tilde{\eta}$ is closed).

Conversely, since $d\tilde{\eta}=0$, for any open neighborhood U on M where $\tilde{\eta}$ is exact, that is, $\tilde{\eta}|_U = d\sigma$, from (2.4) we have at once that $d(e^{-\sigma}\eta|_U)=0$ and $d(e^{-2\sigma}\omega|_U)=0$.

With regard to the last statement of Theorem 2.6, the "if" part follows at once from the first part by setting $\tilde{\eta}=f\eta$. For the "only if" part, suppose that (η,ω) is locally conformally cosymplectic and $d\eta=0$. Then, again from the first part of Theorem 2.6, there exists some 1-form $\tilde{\eta}$, satisfying (2.4). But then, $0=d\eta=\tilde{\eta}\wedge\eta$ and so, for any tangent vector field X on M, we have $\tilde{\eta}(X)=f\eta(X)$, where we put $f=\tilde{\eta}(\xi)$. □

We now introduce the following.

Definition 2.7. An almost cosymplectic structure (η,ω) is said to be *α-cosymplectic* if η is closed and there exists some $\alpha\in\mathbb{R}$, such that $d\omega = 2\alpha\eta\wedge\omega$.

Clearly, cosymplectic structures are α-cosymplectic with $\alpha=0$. On the other hand, setting $\tilde{\eta}=\alpha\eta$ we see at once that equations (2.4) hold. Thus, any α-cosymplectic structure is locally conformally cosymplectic. In the special case of left-invariant structures, by Theorem 2.6 and the above Definition we have at once the following result, which motivates the study of the class of α-cosymplectic structures.

Corollary 2.8. *A left-invariant almost cosymplectic structure (η,ω) with $d\eta=0$ on a Lie group G is locally conformally cosymplectic if and only if it is α-cosymplectic.*

We recall that an *almost α-Kenmotsu structure* is an almost contact metric structure (φ,ξ,η,g) such that $d\eta=0$ and $d\Phi = 2\alpha\eta\wedge\Phi$ for some non-zero real constant α, and an *α-Kenmotsu structure* is a normal almost α-Kenmotsu structure [10],[17]. So, setting $\tilde{\eta}=\alpha\eta$, by Theorem 2.6 we get that (η,Φ) is α-cosymplectic. Indeed, almost α-Kenmotsu structures are locally conformal to almost coKähler structures (see [21],[17]).

We can treat (almost) coKähler and (almost) α-Kenmotsu structures in a unified way introducing the following.

Definition 2.9. An *almost α-coKähler structure* is an almost contact metric structure (φ,ξ,η,g) such that (η,Φ) is α-cosymplectic, and an *α-coKähler structure* is a normal almost α-coKähler structure.

3. The structure of cosymplectic and α-cosymplectic Lie algebras

Let G denote an odd-dimensional (simply connected) Lie group and $\mathfrak{g}$ the corresponding Lie algebra. Suppose that G admits a left-invariant almost cosymplectic structure (η, ω). Tensors η and ω are uniquely determined at the Lie algebra level by a 1-form and a 2-form on $\mathfrak{g}$, respectively. Correspondingly, given a left-invariant almost contact metric structure (φ, ξ, η, g), one has $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$ and φ and g are respectively an endomorphism and an inner product on $\mathfrak{g}$. These remarks justify the following.

Definition 3.1. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ denote an arbitrary $(2n+1)$-dimensional Lie algebra.

(o) An *almost contact metric structure* on $\mathfrak{g}$ is a quadruple (φ, ξ, η, g), where $\eta \in \mathfrak{g}^*$, $\varphi \in \mathrm{End}(\mathfrak{g})$, $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$ and g is a definite positive inner product on $\mathfrak{g}$, such that

$$\eta(\xi) = 1, \qquad \varphi^2 = -I + \eta \otimes \xi,$$
$$\eta \circ \varphi = 0, \qquad g(\varphi X, \varphi Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y).$$

(i) An *almost cosymplectic structure* on $\mathfrak{g}$ is a pair (η, ω), where $\eta \in \mathfrak{g}^*$, $\omega \in \Lambda^2(\mathfrak{g})$ and $\eta \wedge \omega^n \neq 0$.

(ii) An *α-cosymplectic structure* on $\mathfrak{g}$ is an almost cosymplectic structure (η, ω), such that $d\eta = 0$ and $d\omega = 2\alpha\eta \wedge \omega$, for some real constant α.

(iii) An *almost α-coKähler structure* on $\mathfrak{g}$ is an almost contact metric structure (φ, ξ, η, g), such that (η, Φ) is α-cosymplectic, where Φ denotes its fundamental 2-form.

(iv) An *α-coKähler structure* on $\mathfrak{g}$ is a normal almost α-coKähler structure.

An *almost cosymplectic* (respectively, *α-cosymplectic*, *(almost) α-coKähler*) *Lie algebra* is a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ admitting an *almost cosymplectic* (respectively, α-cosymplectic, (almost) α-coKähler) structure.

Clearly, the above definitions give cosymplectic and (almost) coKähler Lie algebras setting $\alpha = 0$, and (almost) α-Kenmotsu structures for $\alpha \neq 0$.

Correspondingly, for an even-dimensional Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}$ we have the following.

Definition 3.2. Let $\mathfrak{h}$ denote an arbitrary $(2n)$-dimensional Lie algebra.

(i) An *almost symplectic structure* on $\mathfrak{h}$ is a 2-form Ω on $\mathfrak{h}$ of maximal rank, that is, such that $\Omega^n \neq 0$.

(ii) A *symplectic structure* on $\mathfrak{h}$ is a closed 2-form Ω on $\mathfrak{h}$ of maximal rank.

(iii) An *almost Kähler structure* on $\mathfrak{h}$ is a pair (J, h), where J is an almost complex structure and h is a positive definite inner product on $\mathfrak{h}$, such that its fundamental 2-form Ω, defined by

$$\Omega(X, Y) = h(X, JY),$$

is a symplectic structure.

(iv) A *Kähler structure* on $\mathfrak{h}$ is an almost Kähler structure (J, h), satisfying the additional integrability condition $N_J = 0$.

An *almost symplectic* (respectively, *symplectic, almost Kähler, Kähler*) *Lie algebra* is a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}$ admitting an almost symplectic (respectively, symplectic, almost Kähler, Kähler) structure.

The results of this section will show that the correspondence between the above structures on odd- and even-dimensional Lie algebras is not just formal but substantial. We start with the following.

Theorem 3.3. *There exists a one-to-one correspondence between $(2n+1)$-dimensional almost cosymplectic Lie algebras $(\mathfrak{g}, \eta, \omega)$ with $d\eta = 0$, and $(2n)$-dimensional almost symplectic Lie algebras $(\mathfrak{h}, \Omega)$, together with any derivation $D \in Der(\mathfrak{h})$.*

Proof. We first start from an almost cosymplectic Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, \eta, \omega)$ with $d\eta = 0$. Thus, $\eta \wedge \omega^n \neq 0$ and there exists a unique vector field ξ completely determined by $\eta(\xi) = 1$ and $i_\xi \omega = 0$.

We now put $\mathfrak{h} := \ker \eta$ and $\Omega := \omega|_{\mathfrak{h}\times\mathfrak{h}}$. We have to show that $\mathfrak{h}$ is a Lie algebra, Ω is an almost symplectic structure on $\mathfrak{h}$ and $(\mathfrak{g}, \eta, \omega)$ induces a derivation $D \in Der(\mathfrak{h})$. For all $x, y \in \mathfrak{h}$, we have

$$\eta([x, y]) = -d\eta(x, y) = 0.$$

Therefore, $[x, y] \in \ker \eta = \mathfrak{h}$ and so, $\mathfrak{h}$ is a Lie algebra. Consider now a basis $\{\xi, x_1, ..., x_{2n}\}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$, with $x_1, ..., x_{2n} \in \ker \eta$. Then,

$$0 \neq (\eta \wedge \omega^n)(\xi, x_1, ..., x_{2n}) = \eta(\xi)\Omega(x_1, x_2)...\Omega(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}) = \Omega^n(x_1, ..., x_{2n}).$$

So, $(\mathfrak{h}, \Omega)$ is an almost symplectic Lie algebra. Next, it is well known that $\mathrm{ad}_\xi : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$ is a derivation of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. We observe that for any $x \in \mathfrak{h}$, we have

$$\eta([\xi, x]) = -d\eta(\xi, x) = 0$$

and so, $D := \mathrm{ad}_\xi|_{\mathfrak{h}}$ is a derivation of $\mathfrak{h}$.

Conversely, consider a $(2n)$-dimensional almost symplectic Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{h}, \Omega)$ and a derivation D of $\mathfrak{h}$. Then, we will show that there exists a corresponding $(2n + 1)$-dimensional almost cosymplectic Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, \eta, \omega)$ with $d\eta = 0$. We first define a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} := \mathbb{R}\xi \oplus \mathfrak{h}$, putting

$$[x, y] := [x, y]_{\mathfrak{h}}, \quad [\xi, x] := Dx \qquad \text{for all } x, y \in \mathfrak{h}. \tag{3.1}$$

On $\mathfrak{g}$, we then define a 2-form ω setting

$$\omega(\xi, x) := 0, \quad \omega(x, y) := \Omega(x, y) \qquad \text{for all } x, y \in \mathfrak{h}.$$

Next, we introduce $\eta : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathbb{R}$, uniquely determined by conditions $\eta(\xi) := 1$ and $\eta|_{\mathfrak{h}} := 0$. Taking into account Equation (3.1), we have

$$d\eta(x, y) = -\eta([x, y]) = 0, \qquad d\eta(\xi, x) = -\eta([\xi, x]) = 0, \qquad \text{for all } x, y \in \mathfrak{h}.$$

So, $d\eta = 0$ on $\mathfrak{g}$. Besides, consider a basis $\{\xi, x_1, ..., x_{2n}\}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$, with $\{x_1, ..., x_{2n}\}$ a basis of $\mathfrak{h}$. Since Ω is a volume form on $\mathfrak{h}$, we then have

$$(\eta \wedge \omega^n)(\xi, x_1, \ldots, x_{2n}) = \Omega(x_1, \ldots, x_{2n}) \neq 0,$$

that is, $(\mathfrak{g}, \eta, \omega)$ is an almost cosymplectic Lie algebra and this concludes the proof. □

The above Theorem 3.3 yields that the class of almost cosymplectic Lie algebras $(\mathfrak{g}, \eta, \omega)$ with a closed 1-form η is indeed large. In fact, one can construct one of such examples starting from any almost symplectic Lie algebra and any derivation on it.

Example 3.4. Almost cosymplectic structures from $4D$ unsolvable Lie algebras. It is well known that a four-dimensional symplectic Lie algebra is necessarily solvable [8]. Therefore, no symplectic structures exist on four-dimensional unsolvable Lie algebras $\mathfrak{h} = \mathbb{R}e_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_3$, where $\mathfrak{g}_3 = span(e_1, e_2, e_3)$ is either $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ or $\mathfrak{su}(2)$, that is,

$$[e_1, e_2] = \lambda_3 e_3, \ [e_2, e_3] = \lambda_1 e_1, \ [e_3, e_1] = \lambda_2 e_2, \ [e_0, e_i] = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, 3,$$

with $\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3 \neq 0$. Standard calculations yield that derivations on such a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}$ take the form

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & b & c \\ 0 & -\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}b & 0 & d \\ 0 & -\frac{\lambda_3}{\lambda_1}c & -\frac{\lambda_3}{\lambda_2}d & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}.$$

By Theorem 3.3, starting from any nondegenerate 2-form Ω and any of such derivations D on $\mathfrak{h}$, one can construct a corresponding almost cosymplectic structure (η, ω) on $\mathfrak{g} = \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$, with $d\eta = 0$.

Example 3.5. Almost cosymplectic structures from $\mathfrak{h}_{2n+1} \times \mathbb{R}$. Consider the $(2n+1)$-dimensional Heisenberg Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}_{2n+1} = \text{span}\{e_1, \ldots, e_{2n+1}\}$, completely described by

$$[e_i, e_{i+1}] = e_{2n+1}, \qquad i = 1, \ldots, n-1.$$

A linear map $\bar{D} : \mathfrak{h}_{2n+1} \to \mathfrak{h}_{2n+1}$ is a derivation of $\mathfrak{h}_{2n+1}$ if and only if with respect to the basis $\{e_i\}$, it is described by matrix

$$\bar{D} = \begin{pmatrix} A & * \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}, \quad A = (a_{i,j}) \in \mathfrak{gl}_{2\mathfrak{n}}(\mathbb{R}), a_{i+1,i+1} = \lambda - a_{i,i},\ i = 2k+1,\ k = 0, ..., n-1$$

(see [18, Lemma 4.1]). Then, $\lambda = \text{tr}\bar{D}/n$.

Consider the semidirect product extension $\mathfrak{h} := \mathbb{R}e_0 \ltimes \mathfrak{h}_{2n+1}$ defined by $[e_0, e_i] = \bar{D}(e_i)$ for all indices i. This extension is unimodular if and only if $\lambda = 0$. As proved in [18, Proposition 4.2], such an unimodular extension $\mathfrak{h}$ does not admit any symplectic structure. On the other hand, it obviously admits plenty of almost symplectic structures, since it suffices to consider any nondegenerate 2-form Ω on $\mathfrak{h} = \mathbb{R}e_0 \times \mathfrak{h}_{2n+1}$ (for example, $\Omega := e^{01} + e^{23} + \ldots + e^{2n2n+1}$, where $e^{ij} := e^i \wedge e^j$).

As in the previous example, by Theorem 3.3, starting from any nondegenerate 2-form Ω and any derivation D on $\mathfrak{h}$, one can construct a corresponding almost cosymplectic structure (η, ω) on $\mathfrak{g} = \mathbb{R}\xi \oplus \mathfrak{h}$, with $d\eta = 0$. We observe that the class of these structures is very large, because the examples of unimodular semidirect extensions $\mathfrak{h} := \mathbb{R}e_0 \ltimes \mathfrak{h}_{2n+1}$, the nondegenerate 2-forms Ω on them, and the derivations on them, all increase quadratically in function of n.

We now turn our attention to α-cosymplectic and symplectic Lie algebras. Let $(\mathfrak{h}, \Omega)$ denote a symplectic Lie algebra. An element $\theta \in \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{h})$ is called an *infinitesimal symplectic transformation* (for short, an *i.s.t.*) if

$$\theta^T \circ \Omega + \Omega \circ \theta = 0$$

(see also [2]). Observe that the above condition is equivalent to the fact that the bilinear operator

$$\begin{array}{rccc} F_{\Omega,\theta}: & \mathfrak{h} \times \mathfrak{h} & \to & \mathbb{R} \\ & (x, y) & \mapsto & \Omega(\theta(x), y) \end{array}$$

is symmetric. We now prove the following result.

Theorem 3.6. *There exists a one-to-one correspondence between $(2n+1)$-dimensional α-cosymplectic Lie algebras $(\mathfrak{g}, \eta, \omega)$, and $(2n)$-dimensional symplectic Lie algebras $(\mathfrak{h}, \Omega)$ together with a derivation $D \in Der(\mathfrak{h})$, such that $D + \alpha I$ is an infinitesimal symplectic transformation.*

Proof. We first start from an α-cosymplectic Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, \eta, \omega)$. By the proof of Theorem 3.3, we know that $\mathfrak{h} := \ker \eta$ is a Lie algebra and $\Omega := \omega|_{\mathfrak{h}\times\mathfrak{h}}$ an almost symplectic structure on it. Moreover, from $d\omega = 2\alpha\eta \wedge \omega$ it follows at once $d\Omega = 0$ and so, $(\mathfrak{h}, \Omega)$ is a symplectic Lie algebra.
Next, again by the proof of Theorem 3.3, $D := \mathrm{ad}_\xi|_\mathfrak{h}$ is a derivation of $\mathfrak{h}$. Finally, for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{h}$ we find

$$\begin{aligned} 2\alpha\Omega(x,y) &= d\omega(\xi,x,y) = -\omega([\xi,x],y) - \omega([x,y],\xi) - \omega([y,\xi],x) \\ &= \omega([\xi,y],x) - \omega([\xi,x],y) = \Omega(Dy,x) - \Omega(Dx,y), \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$\Omega(Dx,y) + \alpha\Omega(x,y) = \Omega(Dy,x) + \alpha\Omega(y,x)$$

and so, $D + \alpha I$ is an infinitesimal symplectic transformation.

Conversely, consider a $(2n)$-dimensional symplectic Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{h}, \Omega)$ and suppose that there exists a derivation D of $\mathfrak{h}$ such that $D + \alpha I$ is an infinitesimal symplectic transformation. By the proof of Theorem 3.3, we know that (3.1) defines a Lie algebra structure on $\mathfrak{g} := \mathbb{R}\xi \oplus \mathfrak{h}$, admitting an almost cosymplectic structure (η, ω), defined by

$$\begin{array}{ll} \omega(\xi,x) = 0, & \omega(x,y) = \Omega(x,y), \\ \eta(\xi) = 1, & \eta(x) = 0, \end{array} \qquad \text{for all } x, y \in \mathfrak{h}$$

and satisfying $d\eta = 0$. We now check that $d\omega = 2\alpha\eta \wedge \omega$. In fact, for any $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{h}$ we have at once $d\omega(x,y,z) = d\Omega(x,y,z) = 0$. Moreover, $d\omega$ being a 3-form, we have $d\omega(\xi,\xi,x) = 0$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{h}$. Finally, using the fact that $D + \alpha I$ is an infinitesimal symplectic transformation, for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{h}$ we find

$$\begin{aligned} d\omega(\xi,x,y) &= -\omega([\xi,x],y) - \omega([x,y],\xi) - \omega([y,\xi],x) = -\omega(Dx,y) + \omega(Dy,x) \\ &= -\Omega(Dx,y) + \Omega(Dy,x) = \Omega(\alpha x,y) - \Omega(\alpha y,x) = 2\alpha\Omega(x,y). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $(\mathfrak{g}, \eta, \omega)$ is an α-cosymplectic Lie algebra and this concludes the proof. □

In the special case $\alpha = 0$, the above Theorem 3.6 leads to the characterization of cosymplectic Lie algebras (see also [2]).

Remark 3.7. Consider a symplectic Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{h}, \Omega)$, a derivation D on $\mathfrak{h}$ and the Lie algebra extension $\mathfrak{g} := \mathbb{R}\xi \ltimes \mathfrak{h}$, defined by $[\xi, x] = D(x)$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{h}$. Extend Ω to a 2-form ω on $\mathfrak{g}$ setting $\omega(\xi, \cdot) = 0$. Then, for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{h}$, by direct calculation we have $(\mathcal{L}_\xi\omega)(\xi, x) = 0 = 2\alpha\omega(\xi, x)$ and

$$(\mathcal{L}_\xi\omega)(x,y) = -\Omega([\xi,x],y) - \Omega(x,[\xi,y]) = -\Omega(D(x),y) - \Omega(x,D(y)),$$

so that $D + \alpha I$ is an infinitesimal symplectic transformation if and only if $\mathcal{L}_\xi\omega = 2\alpha\omega$.

Example 3.8. By the above Theorem 3.6, in order to find examples of $(2n+1)$-dimensional α-cosymplectic (in particular, cosymplectic) Lie algebras, it suffices to start with a $(2n)$-dimensional symplectic Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{h}, \Omega)$ and to find derivations D over $\mathfrak{h}$, such that $D+\alpha I$ (in particular, D) is an infinitesimal symplectic transformation.

We explicitly observe that such a derivation always exists for $\alpha = 0$: one can then consider $D = 0$, for which $F_{\Omega,D} = 0$ is trivially symmetric.

A classification (up to isomorphisms) of nilpotent symplectic Lie algebras of dimension ≤ 6 was given in [12]. In particular, starting from each of the 24 classes of 6-dimensional symplectic Lie algebras described in [12], one can find all the corresponding 7-dimensional cosymplectic and α-cosymplectic Lie algebras.

For example, let us consider the following 6-dimensional Lie algebra:

$$\mathfrak{h}: \quad [X_1, X_2] = X_3, \quad [X_1, X_3] = X_4, \quad [X_1, X_4] = X_5, \quad [X_1, X_5] = X_6.$$

By [12], the above Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}$ carries the symplectic form

$$\Omega = X^{16} - X^{25} + X^{34},$$

unique up to isomorphisms, where $X^{ij} = X^i \wedge X^j$, for all indices i, j.

Long but standard calculations yield that $D \in Der(\mathfrak{h})$ if and only if, with respect to the basis $\{X_i\}$, D is described by the matrix

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} d_{1,1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ d_{2,1} & d_{2,2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ d_{3,1} & d_{3,2} & d_{1,1}+d_{2,2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ d_{4,1} & d_{4,2} & d_{3,2} & 2d_{1,1}+d_{2,2} & 0 & 0 \\ d_{5,1} & d_{5,2} & d_{4,2} & d_{3,2} & 3d_{1,1}+d_{2,2} & 0 \\ d_{6,1} & d_{6,2} & d_{5,2} & d_{4,2} & d_{3,2} & 4d_{1,1}+d_{2,2} \end{pmatrix},$$

which depends on 11 real parameters. We then calculate $F_{\Omega,D}$ for the arbitrary derivation described above. Requiring that $F_{\Omega,D}(X_i, X_j) = F_{\Omega,D}(X_j, X_i)$ for all indices $i \leq j = 1, \ldots, 6$, we get 7 algebraic equations for the parameters describing D, namely,

$$d_{1,1} = d_{2,2} = d_{3,1} = d_{4,2} = 0, \quad d_{3,2} = d_{2,1}, \quad d_{5,2} = d_{4,1}, \quad d_{6,2} = -d_{5,1}.$$

Therefore, derivations D for which $F_{\Omega,D}$ is symmetric are all the ones of the form

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ p & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & p & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ q & 0 & p & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ r & q & 0 & p & 0 & 0 \\ s & -r & q & 0 & p & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where we put $d_{2,1} = p, d_{4,1} = q, d_{5,1} = r, d_{6,1} = s$. Consequently, applying Theorem 3.6, we conclude that $(\mathfrak{h}, \Omega)$ gives rise to a family of 7-dimensional cosymplectic Lie algebras $(\mathfrak{g}, \eta, \omega)$, depending on 4 parameters p, q, r, s and explicitly described by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{g}: \quad & [X_0, X_1] = pX_2 + qX_4 + rX_5 + sX_6, \quad [X_0, X_2] = pX_3 + qX_5 - rX_6, \\ & [X_0, X_3] = pX_4 + qX_6, \quad [X_0, X_4] = pX_5, \quad [X_0, X_5] = pX_6, \\ & [X_1, X_2] = X_3, \quad [X_1, X_3] = X_4, \quad [X_1, X_4] = X_5, \quad [X_1, X_5] = X_6, \\ & \eta = X^0, \qquad \omega = X^{16} - X^{25} + X^{34}. \end{aligned}$$

More in general, if we now require that $F_{\Omega,D+\alpha I}$ is symmetric, then we get all and the ones derivations D of the form

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{2}{7}\alpha & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ p & -\frac{4}{7}\alpha & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & p & -\frac{6}{7}\alpha & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ q & 0 & p & -\frac{8}{7}\alpha & 0 & 0 \\ r & q & 0 & p & -\frac{10}{7}\alpha & 0 \\ s & -r & q & 0 & p & -\frac{12}{7}\alpha \end{pmatrix},$$

and by Theorem 3.6, each of them gives rise to a corresponding α-cosymplectic Lie algebra.

We now consider almost α-coKähler Lie algebras and prove the following.

Theorem 3.9. *There exists a one-to-one correspondence between $(2n+1)$-dimensional almost α-coKähler Lie algebras $(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$, and $(2n)$-dimensional almost Kähler Lie algebras $(\mathfrak{h}, J, h)$, admitting a derivation $D \in Der(\mathfrak{h})$ such that $D + \alpha I$ is an i.s.t.*

Proof. Let $(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be a $(2n+1)$-dimensional almost α-coKähler Lie algebra. In particular, $(\mathfrak{g}, \eta, \Phi)$ is then α-cosymplectic and so, by the proof of Theorem 3.6, $(\mathfrak{h} := \ker \eta, \Phi|_{\mathfrak{h}\times\mathfrak{h}})$ is a symplectic Lie algebra. On $\mathfrak{h}$, we define

$$J := \varphi|_{\mathfrak{h}} \qquad \text{and} \qquad h := g|_{\mathfrak{h}\times\mathfrak{h}}.$$

By the properties of (φ, ξ, η, g) it then follows at once that h is a positive definite inner product on $\mathfrak{h}$, J a complex structure on $\mathfrak{h}$ such that $h(J\cdot, J\cdot) = h(\cdot, \cdot)$, and the fundamental 2-form of (J, h) is

$$\Omega(x, y) := h(x, Jy) = g(x, \varphi y) = \Phi(x, y) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in \mathfrak{h},$$

that is, $\Omega = \Phi|_{\mathfrak{h}\times\mathfrak{h}}$. Since, by hypothesis, $d\Phi = 2\alpha\eta \wedge \Phi$ and $\eta \wedge \Phi^n \neq 0$, one gets at once that Ω is a closed nondegenerate 2-form on $\mathfrak{h}$. Consequently, $(\mathfrak{h}, J, h)$ is an almost Kähler Lie algebra. Finally, again from the proof of Theorem 3.6, we have that $D := \mathrm{ad}_\xi|_{\mathfrak{h}}$ is a derivation of $\mathfrak{h}$, such that $D + \alpha I$ is an infinitesimal symplectic transformation.

Conversely, proceeding in a similar way to the proof of Theorem 3.6, starting from an almost Kähler Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{h}, J, h)$, together with a derivation $D \in Der(\mathfrak{h})$ such that $D + \alpha I$ is an infinitesimal symplectic transformation, we consider $(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ defined as follows:

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathbb{R}\xi \oplus \mathfrak{h}, \quad \text{with} \quad [x, y] = [x, y]_{\mathfrak{h}}, \quad [\xi, x] = Dx, \quad \text{for all } x, y \in \mathfrak{h},$$

$$\eta(\xi) = 1,\ \eta|_{\mathfrak{h}} := 0, \qquad \varphi(\xi) = 0,\ \varphi|_{\mathfrak{h}} = J, \qquad g(\xi, x) = \eta(x),\ g|_{\mathfrak{h}\times\mathfrak{h}} = h.$$

It is now easy to check that (φ, ξ, η, g) is an almost contact metric structure, $d\eta = 0$ and $\Phi := g(\cdot, \varphi\cdot)$ satisfies $\Phi|_{\mathfrak{h}} = \Omega$. Since Ω is a closed nondegenerate 2-form, we have again $\eta \wedge \Phi^n \neq 0$. Moreover, taking into account the fact that $D + \alpha I$ is an infinitesimal symplectic transformation, it is easily seen that $d\Phi = 2\alpha\eta \wedge \Phi$ and this ends the proof. □

By Theorem 3.9, the problem of determining $(2n+1)$-dimensional almost α-coKähler Lie algebras, reduces to the one of determining $(2n)$-dimensional almost Kähler Lie algebras together with some suitable derivations. Explicit examples of almost α-coKähler Lie algebras will be given in the next section.

It is well known that tensor $\mathcal{L}_\xi\varphi$ plays an important role in the geometry of almost contact metric manifolds (see for example [5]). We now consider almost α-coKähler Lie algebras $(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ such that $\mathcal{L}_\xi\varphi = 0$. In particular, we recall that a *K-cosymplectic Lie algebra* is an almost coKähler Lie algebra, whose Reeb vector field ξ is Killing [3]. We first prove the following.

Proposition 3.10. *Let $(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ denote an almost α-coKähler Lie algebra and $(\mathfrak{h} = \ker \eta, J, h)$ the corresponding almost Kähler Lie algebra as in Theorem 3.9. Then, the following properties are equivalent:*

i) $\mathcal{L}_\xi g = 2\alpha(g - \eta\otimes\eta)$;

ii) $(D+\alpha I)$ *is skew-adjoint with respect to* h, *where* $D := \mathrm{ad}_\xi|_\mathfrak{h}$;

iii) $DJ = JD$;

iv) $\mathcal{L}_\xi\varphi = 0$.

In particular, for an almost coKähler Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g},\varphi,\xi,\eta,g)$ *(that is, when* $\alpha = 0$*), by property* i) *we have that* ξ *is Killing, that is,* $(\mathfrak{g},\varphi,\xi,\eta,g)$ *is* K*-cosymplectic.*

Proof. Let $(\mathfrak{g},\varphi,\xi,\eta,g)$ be an almost α-coKähler Lie algebra and consider $\mathfrak{h} := \ker\eta$, $J := \varphi|_h$, $h := g|_{\mathfrak{h}\times\mathfrak{h}}$ and $D := \mathrm{ad}_\xi|_\mathfrak{h} \in Der(\mathfrak{h})$.

For any $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$, we get

$$(\mathcal{L}_\xi g)(x,y) = -g([\xi,x],y) - g([\xi,y],x) = -h(Dx,y) - h(Dy,x). \tag{3.2}$$

Moreover, it is well known (see for example [7],[10]) that the Levi-Civita connection of g satifies $\nabla\xi = -\alpha\varphi^2 - \frac{1}{2}\varphi\mathcal{L}_\xi\varphi$, that $\mathcal{L}_\xi\varphi$ is symmetric, φ is skew-adjoint with respect to g and $\mathcal{L}_\xi\varphi$ anti-commutes with φ. Consequently, for any $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathcal{L}_\xi g)(x,y) &= (g(\nabla_x\xi, y) + g(x, \nabla_y\xi))\\ &= g(-\alpha\varphi^2 x - \frac{1}{2}\varphi\mathcal{L}_\xi\varphi x, y) + g(x, -\alpha\varphi^2 y - \frac{1}{2}\varphi\mathcal{L}_\xi\varphi y)\\ &= -\alpha\big(g(-x+\eta(x)\xi, y) + g(x, -y+\eta(y)\xi)\big) - g(\varphi\mathcal{L}_\xi\varphi x, y)\\ &= 2\alpha\big(g(x,y) - \eta(x)\eta(y)\big) - g(\varphi\mathcal{L}_\xi\varphi x, y).\end{aligned}$$

So, we have

$$\mathcal{L}_\xi g = 2\alpha\big(g - \eta\otimes\eta) - g(\varphi\mathcal{L}_\xi\varphi\ \cdot,\cdot). \tag{3.3}$$

Equation (3.3) yields the equivalence between i) and iv). Moreover, comparing Equations (3.2) and (3.3), for any $x, y \in \mathfrak{h}$ we have

$$-h(Dx,y) - h(Dy,x) = 2\alpha h(x,y) - h(\varphi\mathcal{L}_\xi\varphi x, y).$$

that is,

$$h(\varphi\mathcal{L}_\xi\varphi x, y) = h((D+\alpha I)x, y) + h((D+\alpha I)y, x),$$

which, since $(\mathcal{L}_\xi\varphi)\xi = 0$, implies that $\mathcal{L}_\xi\varphi = 0$ if and only if $(D+\alpha I)$ is skew-adjoint with respect h.

Finally, iv) implies iii) because $\mathcal{L}_\xi\varphi = \mathrm{ad}_\xi\circ\varphi - \varphi\circ\mathrm{ad}_\xi$ and $J = \varphi|_\mathfrak{h}$, and to prove the converse it suffices to observe that $\varphi\xi = \mathrm{ad}_\xi\xi = 0$. □

Remark 3.11. If an almost Kähler Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{h}, J, h)$ admits a derivation D such that conditions ii) and iii) of Proposition 3.10 hold, then $D+\alpha I$ is necessarily an i.s.t. In fact, taking also into account that $J^2 = -Id$ and that J is compatible with h, we get, for any $x, y \in \mathfrak{h}$,

$$\begin{aligned}F_{\Omega,D+\alpha I}(x,y) &= \Omega((D+\alpha I)x, y) = h((D+\alpha I)x, Jy) = h(J(D+\alpha I)x, J^2 y)\\ &= -h(J(D+\alpha I)x, y) = -h((D+\alpha I)Jx, y) = h(Jx, (D+\alpha I)y)\\ &= \Omega((D+\alpha I)y, x) = F_{\Omega,D+\alpha I}(y,x).\end{aligned}$$

By a similar argument one can prove that if an almost Kähler Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{h}, J, h)$ admits a derivation D such that $D+\alpha I$ is an i.s.t. and D commutes with J, then condition ii) of Proposition 3.10 holds.

We now prove the following characterization.

Theorem 3.12. *There exists a one-to-one correspondence between $(2n+1)$-dimensional almost α-coKähler Lie algebras $(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ with $\mathcal{L}_\xi \varphi = 0$ (in particular, when $\alpha = 0$, K-cosymplectic Lie algebras), and $(2n)$-dimensional almost Kähler Lie algebras $(\mathfrak{h}, J, h)$, admitting a derivation $D \in Der(\mathfrak{h})$ such that $D+\alpha I$ is an i.s.t. and $DJ = JD$.*

Proof. Let $(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be a $(2n+1)$-dimensional almost α-coKähler Lie algebra with $\mathcal{L}_\xi \varphi = 0$. Then, by Theorem 3.9, it determines a $(2n)$-dimensional almost Kähler Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{h}, J, h)$, admitting a derivation $D \in Der(\mathfrak{h})$ such that $D + \alpha I$ is an i.s.t. Besides, by Proposition 3.10 we conclude that $DJ = JD$.

Conversely, let us start from a $(2n)$-dimensional almost Kähler Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{h}, J, h)$, admitting a derivation $D \in Der(\mathfrak{h})$ such that $D + \alpha I$ is an i.s.t. and $DJ = JD$. By Theorem 3.9, it determines a $(2n+1)$-dimensional almost α-coKähler Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$. Futhermore, by Proposition 3.10, the condition $DJ = JD$ implies that $\mathcal{L}_\xi \varphi = 0$ and this completes the proof.

□

Applying the above Theorem 3.12, an explicit classification of four-dimensional strictly K-cosymplectic Lie algebras will be given in Section 5.

Next, we observe that for an almost α-coKähler Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ with $\mathcal{L}_\xi \varphi = 0$, the normality condition (2.3) (that is, as $d\eta = 0$, $N_\varphi = 0$) is equivalent to the integrability condition $N_J = 0$ of the corresponding almost Kähler Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{h} = \ker \eta, J = \varphi|_{\mathfrak{h}}, h)$.

In fact, as $J = \varphi|_{\mathfrak{h}}$, we get at once that $N_\varphi = 0$ implies $N_J = 0$. Conversely, for any $x, y \in \ker \eta$ we have $N_\varphi(x, y) = N_J(x, y) = 0$. Moreover, since $\mathcal{L}_\xi \varphi = 0$, we also have

$$\begin{aligned} N_\varphi(\xi, x) &= [\varphi\xi, \varphi x] - \varphi[\varphi\xi, x] - \varphi[\xi, \varphi x] + \varphi^2[\xi, x] = \varphi(\varphi[\xi, x] - [\xi, \varphi x]) \\ &= \varphi((\mathcal{L}_\xi \varphi)x) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, Theorem 3.12 yields the following.

Theorem 3.13. *There exists a one-to-one correspondence between $(2n+1)$-dimensional α-coKähler Lie algebras $(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$, and $(2n)$-dimensional Kähler Lie algebras $(\mathfrak{h}, J, h)$, admitting a derivation $D \in Der(\mathfrak{h})$ such that $D + \alpha I$ is an i.s.t. and $DJ = JD$.*

The above result extends the characterization of coKähler structures proved in [11, Theorem 6.1].

4. Isomorphisms of cosymplectic and α-cosymplectic Lie algebras

We start with the following.

Definition 4.1. a) Two almost cosymplectic (in particular, two α-cosymplectic) Lie algebras $(\mathfrak{g}_1, \eta_1, \omega_1)$ and $(\mathfrak{g}_2, \eta_2, \omega_2)$ are *isomorphic* if there exists a Lie algebra isomorphism $\Psi : \mathfrak{g}_1 \to \mathfrak{g}_2$ such that

$$1)\ \Psi^*\omega_2 = \omega_1 \quad \text{and} \quad 2)\ \Psi^*\eta_2 (= \eta_2 \circ \Psi) = \eta_1.$$

b) Two (almost) α-coKähler Lie algebras $(\mathfrak{g}_1, \varphi_1, \xi_1, \eta_1, g_1)$ and $(\mathfrak{g}_2, \varphi_2, \xi_2, \eta_2, g_2)$ are *isomorphic* if there exists a Lie algebra isomorphism $\Psi : \mathfrak{g}_1 \to \mathfrak{g}_2$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} &1)\ \Psi \circ \varphi_1 = \varphi_2 \circ \Psi, \quad 2)\ \Psi^*\eta_2 (= \eta_2 \circ \Psi) = \eta_1, \\ &3)\ \Psi\xi_1 = \xi_2, \qquad\qquad 4)\ \Psi^* g_2 = g_1 \text{ (i.e., } \Psi \text{ is an isometry).} \end{aligned}$$

c) Two (almost) symplectic Lie algebras $(\mathfrak{h}_1, \Omega_1)$ and $(\mathfrak{h}_2, \Omega_2)$ are *isomorphic* if there exists a Lie algebra isomorphism $\psi : \mathfrak{h}_1 \to \mathfrak{h}_2$ such that $\psi^*\Omega_2 = \Omega_1$.

d) Two (almost) Kähler Lie algebras $(\mathfrak{h}_1, J_1, h_1)$ and $(\mathfrak{h}_2, J_2, h_2)$ are *isomorphic* if there exists a Lie algebra isomorphism $\psi : \mathfrak{h}_1 \to \mathfrak{h}_2$ such that

$$1)\ \psi \circ J_1 = J_2 \circ \psi \quad \text{and} \quad 2)\ \psi^* h_2 = h_1.$$

In such a case, we observe that conditions 1) and 2) imply that $\psi^*\Omega_2 = \Omega_1$, where Ω_1 and Ω_2 are the fundamental 2-form of h_1 and h_2 respectively.

We now prove the following.

Theorem 4.2. *Let $(\mathfrak{g}_1, \eta_1, \omega_1)$ and $(\mathfrak{g}_2, \eta_2, \omega_2)$ two almost cosymplectic (respectively, α-cosymplectic) Lie algebras with $d\eta_1 = d\eta_2 = 0$, and $(\mathfrak{h}_1, \Omega_1, D_1)$ and $(\mathfrak{h}_2, \Omega_2, D_2)$ the corresponding almost symplectic Lie algebras and derivations as in Theorem* 3.3 *(respectively, Theorem* 3.6*). Then, $(\mathfrak{g}_1, \eta_1, \omega_1)$ and $(\mathfrak{g}_2, \eta_2, \omega_2)$ are isomorphic if and only if there exists an isomorphism $\psi : \mathfrak{h}_1 \to \mathfrak{h}_2$ of almost symplectic Lie algebras, such that $\psi \circ D_1 = D_2 \circ \psi$.*

Proof. Let $(\mathfrak{g}_1, \eta_1, \omega_1)$ and $(\mathfrak{g}_2, \eta_2, \omega_2)$ two almost cosymplectic Lie algebras with $d\eta_1 = d\eta_2 = 0$, and $(\mathfrak{h}_1, \Omega_1)$ and $(\mathfrak{h}_2, \Omega_2)$ with $D_i \in Der(\mathfrak{h}_i)$ $(i = 1, 2)$ the corresponding almost symplectic Lie algebras as in Theorem 3.3.

Suppose first that there exists a Lie algebra isomorphism $\Psi : \mathfrak{g}_1 \to \mathfrak{g}_2$ satisfying $\Psi^*\omega_2 = \omega_1$ and $\Psi^*\eta_2 = \eta_1$. We shall prove that $\Psi(\ker \eta_1) = \ker \eta_2$. In fact, for any $x \in \ker \eta_1$, we have

$$0 = \eta_1(x) = \eta_2\Psi(x)$$

and so, $\Psi(\ker \eta_1) \subseteq \ker \eta_2$. But $\dim(\Psi(\ker \eta_1)) = \dim(\ker \eta_2) = 2n$. Hence, $\Psi(\mathfrak{h}_1) = \mathfrak{h}_2$, where $\mathfrak{h}_1 = \ker \eta_1$ and $\mathfrak{h}_2 = \ker \eta_2$, and restricting Ψ to $\mathfrak{h}_1$ we get a Lie algebra isomorphism $\psi := \Psi|_{\mathfrak{h}_1} : \mathfrak{h}_1 \to \mathfrak{h}_2$. Moreover, since $\Omega_i := \omega_i|_{\mathfrak{h}_1 \times \mathfrak{h}_i}$ for $i = 1, 2$ we have at once that $\psi^*\Omega_2 = \Omega_1$. So, ψ is an isomorphism of almost symplectic Lie algebras.

Finally, to show that $\psi \circ D_1 = D_2 \circ \psi$, we first prove that $\Psi\xi_1 = \xi_2$. Recall that, for $i = 1, 2$, ξ_i is uniquely determined by conditions $\eta_i(\xi_i) = 0$ and $\omega_i(\xi_i, \cdot) = 0$. Now, put $\tilde{\xi}_2 := \Psi\xi_1$, and for any $y \in \mathfrak{h}_2$, let $x \in \mathfrak{g}_1$ such that $\Psi(x) = y$. Then:

$$\eta_2(\tilde{\xi}_2) = \eta_2(\Psi\xi_1) = \eta_1(\xi_1) = 1,$$

$$\omega_2(\tilde{\xi}_2, y) = \omega_2(\Psi(\xi_1), \Psi(x)) = (\Psi^*\omega_2)(\xi_1, x) = \omega_1(\xi_1, x) = 0.$$

Consequently, $\tilde{\xi}_2 = \xi_2$ and $\Psi(\xi_1) = \xi_2$. Therefore, for any $x \in \mathfrak{h}_1$, we have

$$\psi D_1(x) = \Psi \mathrm{ad}_{\xi_1}(x) = \Psi[\xi_1, x] = [\Psi\xi_1, \Psi x] = [\xi_2, \psi(x)] = \mathrm{ad}_{\xi_2}(\psi(x)) = D_2\psi(x).$$

Conversely, let $\psi : (\mathfrak{h}_1, \Omega_1) \to (\mathfrak{h}_2, \Omega_2)$ denote an isomorphism of almost symplectic Lie algebras, such that $\psi \circ D_1 = D_2 \circ \psi$. As in the proof of Theorem 3.3, consider $\mathfrak{g}_i = \mathbb{R}\xi_i \oplus \mathfrak{h}_i$, for $i = 1, 2$. Define a linear map $\Psi : \mathfrak{g}_1 \to \mathfrak{g}_2$ by $\Psi(\xi_1) = \xi_2$ and $\Psi|\mathfrak{h}_1 = \psi$. Then, Ψ is a Lie algebra isomorphism. In fact,

$$\Psi(\mathfrak{g}_1) = \Psi(\mathbb{R}\xi_1 \oplus \mathfrak{h}_1) = \mathbb{R}\Psi(\xi_1) \oplus \Psi(\mathfrak{h}_1) = \mathbb{R}\xi_2 \oplus \mathfrak{h}_2 = \mathfrak{g}_2,$$

$$\Psi[x, y] = [\Psi x, \Psi y] \quad \text{if} \quad x, y \in \mathfrak{h}_1,$$

$$\Psi[\xi_1, x] = \Psi \mathrm{ad}_{\xi_1}(x) = \psi D_1(x) = D_2\psi(x) = [\xi_2, \psi(x)] = [\Psi(\xi_1), \Psi(x)] \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathfrak{h}_1.$$

Next, for any $x \in \mathfrak{h}_1$, we have $\psi(x) \in \mathfrak{h}_2 = \ker \eta_2$ and so,

$$\Psi^*\eta_2(x) = \eta_2(\Psi(x)) = \eta_2(\psi(x)) = 0 = \eta_1(x),$$
$$\Psi^*\eta_2(\xi_1) = \eta_2(\Psi(\xi_1)) = \eta_2(\xi_2) = 1 = \eta_1(\xi_1).$$

Therefore, $\Psi^*\eta_2 = \eta_1$. Finally, as we already know by hypothesis that $\psi^*\Omega_2 = \Omega_1$, we easily conclude that $\Psi^*\omega_2 = \omega_1$. In fact,

$$(\Psi^*\omega_2)|_{\mathfrak{h}_1\times\mathfrak{h}_1} = \psi^*\Omega_2|_{\mathfrak{h}_1\times\mathfrak{h}_1} = \Omega_1|_{\mathfrak{h}_1\times\mathfrak{h}_1} = \omega_1|_{\mathfrak{h}_1\times\mathfrak{h}_1},$$
$$(\Psi^*\omega_2)(\xi_1, x) = \omega_2(\Psi(\xi_1), \Psi(x)) = \omega_2(\xi_2, \psi(x)) = 0 = \omega_1(\xi_1, x) \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathfrak{h}_1.$$

So, Ψ is an isomorphism of almost cosymplectic Lie algebras.

Finally, for the α-cosymplectic case it suffices to observe that since Ψ is an isomorphism of almost cosymplectic Lie algebras, $d\omega_1 = 2\alpha\eta_1 \wedge \omega_1$ if and only if $d\omega_2 = 2\alpha\eta_2 \wedge \omega_2$. Correspondingly, since ψ is an isomorphism of almost symplectic Lie algebras, one has $d\Omega_1 = 0$ if and only if $d\Omega_2 = 0$. □

In the next section we shall apply the above Theorem 4.2, together with the classification of non-isomorphic four-dimensional symplectic Lie algebras obtained in [18], to get a complete classification up to isomorphisms of five-dimensional cosymplectic Lie algebras. Next, we prove the following.

Theorem 4.3. *Let $(\mathfrak{g}_1, \varphi_1, \xi_1, \eta_1, g_1)$ and $(\mathfrak{g}_2, \varphi_2, \xi_2, \eta_2, g_2)$ two (almost) α-coKähler Lie algebras, and $(\mathfrak{h}_1, J_1, h_1, D_1)$ and $(\mathfrak{h}_2, J_2, h_2, D_2)$ the corresponding (almost) Kähler Lie algebras and derivations, as described in Theorems* 3.9 *and* 3.13. *Then, $(\mathfrak{g}_1, \varphi_1, \xi_1, \eta_1, g_1)$ and $(\mathfrak{g}_2, \varphi_2, \xi_2, \eta_2, g_2)$ are isomorphic if and only if there exists an isomorphism $\psi : \mathfrak{h}_1 \to \mathfrak{h}_2$ of (almost) Kähler Lie algebras, such that $\psi \circ D_1 = D_2 \circ \psi$.*

Proof. Let $(\mathfrak{g}_1, \varphi_1, \xi_1, \eta_1, g_1)$ and $(\mathfrak{g}_2, \varphi_2, \xi_2, \eta_2, g_2)$ denote two (almost) α-coKähler Lie algebras, and $(\mathfrak{h}_1, J_1, h_1)$ and $(\mathfrak{h}_2, J_2, h_2)$ with $D_i \in Der(\mathfrak{h}_i)$ $(i = 1, 2)$ the corresponding (almost) Kähler Lie algebras as in Theorem 3.9.

Suppose first that there exists an isomorphism $\Psi : \mathfrak{g}_1 \to \mathfrak{g}_2$. Following the proof of Theorem 4.2, there exists a Lie algebra isomorphism $\psi := \Psi|_{\mathfrak{h}_1} : \mathfrak{h}_1 \to \mathfrak{h}_2$, where $\mathfrak{h}_1 := \ker \eta_1$ and $\mathfrak{h}_2 := \ker \eta_2$. Next, taking into account $\Psi \circ \varphi_1 = \varphi_2 \circ \Psi$ and $J_i = \varphi_i|\mathfrak{h}_i$, we get

$$(\psi J_1)(x) = \psi(\varphi_1(x)) = \Psi(\varphi_1(x)) = \varphi_2(\Psi(x)) = \varphi_2(\psi(x)) = (J_2\psi)(x) \text{ for all } x \in \mathfrak{h}_1,$$

that is, $\psi \circ J_1 = J_2 \circ \psi$. Finally, since by hypothesis $\Psi^* g_2 = g_1$ and $h_i = g_i|_{\mathfrak{h}_i\times\mathfrak{h}_i}$ for $i = 1, 2$, we find $\psi^* h_2 = h_1$. Therefore, ψ is an isomorphism of (almost) Kähler Lie algebras, and condition $\psi \circ D_1 = D_2 \circ \psi$ is ensured by Theorem 4.2.

Conversely, let $\psi : \mathfrak{h}_1 \to \mathfrak{h}_2$ denote an isomorphism of (almost) Kähler Lie algebras, such that $\psi \circ D_1 = D_2 \circ \psi$. As in Theorem 3.9, consider $\mathfrak{g}_i = \mathbb{R}\xi_i \oplus \mathfrak{h}_i$, for $i = 1, 2$. Define a linear map $\Psi : \mathfrak{g}_1 \to \mathfrak{g}_2$ by $\Psi(\xi_1) = \xi_2$ and $\Psi|_{\mathfrak{h}_1} = \psi$. Then, as in the proof of Theorem 4.2, Ψ is a Lie algebras isomorphism such that $\psi^*\eta_2 = \eta_1$. Moreover, $\Psi \circ \varphi_1 = \varphi_2 \circ \Psi$. In fact, for any $x \in h_1$,

$$\Psi\varphi_1(x) = \Psi(J_1(x)) = (\psi J_1)(x) = (J_2\psi)(x) = \varphi_2\Psi(x),$$
$$\Psi\varphi_1(\xi_1) = \Psi(\varphi_1\xi_1) = 0 = \varphi_2\xi_2 = \varphi_2\Psi(\xi_1).$$

Finally, as by hypothesis ψ is an isometry, for any $x, y \in \mathfrak{h}_1$ we have

$$(\Psi^* g_2)(x,y) = g_2(\Psi x, \Psi y) = h_2(\psi x, \psi y) = (\psi^* h_2)(x,y) = h_1(x,y) = g_1(x,y),$$
$$(\Psi^* g_2)(\xi_1, x) = g_2(\Psi\xi_1, \Psi x) = g_2(\xi_2, \psi x) = 0 = g_1(\xi_1, x),$$
$$(\Psi^* g_2)(\xi_1, \xi_1) = g_2(\Psi\xi_1, \Psi\xi_1) = g_2(\xi_2, \xi_2) = 1 = g_1(\xi_1, \xi_1),$$

that is, Ψ is also an isometry and so, we conclude that Ψ is an isomorphism of (almost) α-coKähler Lie algebras.

□

The following Lemmas show that the property of $D + \alpha I$ being an i.s.t. and the commutativity of D and J are both stable under isomorphisms of symplectic and almost Kähler Lie algebras.

Lemma 4.4. *Let $\psi : (\mathfrak{h}_1, \Omega_1) \to (\mathfrak{h}_2, \Omega_2)$ be an isomorphism of symplectic Lie algebras and $D_i \in Der(h_i)$, $i = 1, 2$. If $\psi \circ D_1 = D_2 \circ \psi$, then $\psi^* F_{\Omega_2, D_2 + \alpha I} = F_{\Omega_1, D_1 + \alpha I}$.*
In particular, $F_{\Omega_1, D_1 + \alpha I}$ is symmetric (that is, $D_1 + \alpha I$ is an i.s.t.) if and only if so is $F_{\Omega_2, D_2 + \alpha I}$.

Proof. Let $(\mathfrak{h}_1, \Omega_1)$ and $(\mathfrak{h}_2, \Omega_2)$ be two symplectic Lie algebras, D_i a derivation on $\mathfrak{h}_i$, $i = 1, 2$, and ψ an isomorphism between them. Suppose that $\psi \circ D_1 = D_2 \circ \psi$. Then, taking into account $\psi^* \Omega_2 = \Omega_1$, for any $x, y \in \mathfrak{h}_1$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}(\psi^* F_{\Omega_2, D_2 + \alpha I})(x,y) &= F_{\Omega_2, D_2 + \alpha I}(\psi(x), \psi(y)) = \Omega_2((D_2 + \alpha I)\psi(x), \psi(y)) \\ &= \Omega_2(\psi(D_1 + \alpha I)(x), \psi(y)) = (\psi^* \Omega_2)((D_1 + \alpha I)(x), y) \\ &= \Omega_1((D_1 + \alpha I)(x), y) = F_{\Omega_1, D_1 + \alpha I}(x,y)\end{aligned}$$

and the conclusion follows at once. □

Lemma 4.5. *Let $\psi : (\mathfrak{h}_1, J_1, h_1) \to (\mathfrak{h}_2, J_2, h_2)$ be an isomorphism of almost Kähler Lie algebras and $D_i \in Der(h_i)$, $i = 1, 2$. If $\psi \circ D_1 = D_2 \circ \psi$, then $D_1 J_1 = J_1 D_1$ if and only if $D_2 J_2 = J_2 D_2$.*

Proof. Let $\psi : (\mathfrak{h}_1, J_1, h_1) \to (\mathfrak{h}_2, J_2, h_2)$ be an isomorphism of almost Kähler Lie algebras. Then,

$$\psi \circ J_1 = J_2 \circ \psi$$

and so, if $\psi \circ D_1 = D_2 \circ \psi$, to complete the proof it suffices to observe that

$$D_1 J_1 = \psi^{-1} D_2 \psi \psi^{-1} J_2 \psi = \psi^{-1} D_2 J_2 \psi \quad \text{and} \quad J_1 D_1 = \psi^{-1} J_2 \psi \psi^{-1} D_2 \psi = \psi^{-1} J_2 D_2 \psi.$$

□

In particular, Theorem 4.3 and Lemma 4.5 yield at once the following result.

Theorem 4.6. *Let $(\mathfrak{g}_1, \varphi_1, \xi_1, \eta_1, g_1)$ and $(\mathfrak{g}_2, \varphi_2, \xi_2, \eta_2, g_2)$ be two almost α-coKähler Lie algebras with $\mathcal{L}_{\xi_i} \varphi_i = 0$ (in particular, two K-cosymplectic Lie algebras), and $(\mathfrak{h}_1, J_1, h_1, D_1)$ and $(\mathfrak{h}_2, J_2, h_2, D_2)$ the corresponding almost Kähler Lie algebras and derivations as in Theorem 3.12. Then, $\mathfrak{g}_1$ and $\mathfrak{g}_2$ are isomorphic if and only if there exists an isomorphism $\psi : \mathfrak{h}_1 \to \mathfrak{h}_2$ of almost Kähler Lie algebras, such that $\psi \circ D_1 = D_2 \circ \psi$.*

5. Classifications in dimension five

We shall now classify up to isomorphisms five-dimensional cosymplectic (respectively, strictly almost coKähler, strictly K-cosymplectic, coKähler) Lie algebras, and provide some explicit examples of (almost) α-Kenmotsu Lie algebras, describing the form of the suitable derivations of the corresponding non-isomorphic four-dimensional symplectic (respectively, strictly almost Kähler, Kähler) Lie algebras, as illustrated in Section 3. We note that by a strictly almost Kähler (respectively, strictly almost coKähler) Lie algebra, we mean a Lie algebra which only admits non-integrable almost Kähler (respectively, non-normal almost coKähler) structures.

Four-dimensional non-abelian symplectic Lie algebras have been classified up to isomorphisms by Ovando in [18, Proposition 2.4]. For each of these four-dimensional symplectic Lie algebras $(\mathfrak{h},\Omega)$, we can determine their derivations $D\in Der(\mathfrak{h})$ such that $D+\alpha I$ is an infinitesimal symplectic transformation.

Let now $(\mathfrak{g},\eta,\omega)$ be any five-dimensional cosymplectic Lie algebra. Then, by Theorem 3.6, it determines a corresponding four-dimensional symplectic Lie algebra, which must be isomorphic to one of the examples classified in [18, Proposition 2.4]. Consequently, by Theorem 4.2, $(\mathfrak{g},\eta,\omega)$ is isomorphic to the cosymplectic structure arising from such example. Therefore, we have the following.

Theorem 5.1. *Let $(\mathfrak{g},\eta,\omega)$ be a five-dimensional cosymplectic Lie algebra. Then, (g,η,ω) is isomorphic to one of cosymplectic Lie algebras arising, as in Theorem* 3.6*, from one of the triples $(\mathfrak{h},\Omega,D)$, where either $\mathfrak{h}$ is abelian or $(\mathfrak{h},\Omega)$ is one of non-abelian four-dimensional symplectic Lie algebras listed in the following Table* 1*, and D a suitable derivation of $\mathfrak{h}$.*

$\mathfrak{h}$	Symplectic 2-form Ω	$D=\mathrm{ad}_\xi\vert_{\mathfrak{h}}$ i.s.t.
$\mathfrak{rh}_3$	$e^{14}+e^{23}$	$De_1=2pe_1+qe_3+re_4,\quad De_2=se_1-pe_2+te_3+qe_4,$ $De_3=pe_3,\quad De_4=-se_3-2pe_4$
$\mathfrak{rr}_{3,0}$	$e^{12}+e^{34}$	$De_1=pe_2,\quad De_3=qe_3+re_4,\quad De_4=se_3-qe_4$
$\mathfrak{rr}_{3,-1}$	$e^{14}+e^{23}$	$De_1=pe_4,\quad De_2=qe_2,\quad De_3=-qe_3$
$\mathfrak{rr}'_{3,0}$	$e^{14}+e^{23}$	$De_1=pe_4,\quad De_2=qe_3,\quad De_3=-qe_2$
$\mathfrak{r}_2\mathfrak{r}_2$	$e^{12}+\lambda e^{13}+e^{34},\ \lambda\geq 0$	$De_1=pe_2,\quad De_3=qe_4$
$\mathfrak{r}'_2$	$e^{14}+e^{23}$	$De_1=pe_3+qe_4,\quad De_2=-qe_3+pe_4$
$\mathfrak{n}_4$	$e^{12}+e^{34}$	$De_1=pe_2+qe_3,\quad De_2=pe_3,\quad De_4=pe_1-qe_2+re_3$
$\mathfrak{r}_{4,0}$	$e^{14}+\varepsilon e^{23},\ \varepsilon=\pm 1$	$De_3=pe_2,\quad De_4=qe_1$

Table 1. 4D symplectic Lie algebras giving rise to 5D cosymplectic Lie algebras (I)

$\mathfrak{h}$	Symplectic 2-form Ω	$D = \mathrm{ad}_\xi\|_{\mathfrak{h}}$ i.s.t.
$\mathfrak{r}_{4,-1}$	$e^{13}+e^{24}$	$De_3 = pe_2, \quad De_4 = pe_1 + qe_2$
$\mathfrak{r}_{4,-1,\beta}$ $-1 \le \beta < 0$	$e^{12}+e^{34}$	$De_1 = pe_1$ $De_2 = -pe_2 + \varepsilon q e_3$, $De_4 = \varepsilon q e_1 + re_3$ $\varepsilon = 0$ if $q \neq -1$ $\varepsilon = 1$ if $q = -1$
$\mathfrak{r}_{4,\bar\alpha,-\bar\alpha}$ $-1 < \bar\alpha < 0$	$e^{14}+e^{23}$	$De_2 = pe_2, \quad De_3 = -pe_3, \quad De_4 = qe_1$
$\mathfrak{r}'_{4,0,\delta},\ \delta > 0$	$e^{14} + \varepsilon e^{23},\ \varepsilon = \pm 1$	$De_2 = pe_3, \quad De_3 = -pe_2, \quad De_4 = qe_1$
$\mathfrak{d}_{4,1}$	$e^{12} - e^{34} + \varepsilon e^{24}$ $\varepsilon = 0, 1$	$De_1 = (1-\varepsilon)pe_1, \quad De_2 = (\varepsilon - 1)pe_2 + qe_3$ $De_4 = -qe_1 + re_3$
$\mathfrak{d}_{4,2}$	$e^{12} - e^{34}$ $e^{14} + \varepsilon e^{23},\ \varepsilon = \pm 1$	$De_1 = pe_1, \quad De_2 = -pe_2, \quad De_4 = qe_3$ $De_2 = pe_3, \quad De_4 = -2pe_1$
$\mathfrak{d}_{4,\lambda}$ $\lambda \ge 1/2, \neq 1, 2$	$e^{12} - e^{34}$	$De_1 = pe_1 + \varepsilon q e_2$ $De_2 = \varepsilon r e_1 - pe_2$, $De_4 = se_3$ $\varepsilon = 0$ if $\lambda \neq \frac{1}{2}$ $\varepsilon = 1$ if $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$
$\mathfrak{d}'_{4,\delta},\ \delta > 0$	$\varepsilon(e^{12} - \delta e^{34}),\ \varepsilon = \pm 1$	$De_1 = pe_2, \quad De_2 = -pe_1, \quad De_4 = qe_3$
$\mathfrak{h}_4$	$\varepsilon(e^{12} - e^{34}),\ \varepsilon = \pm 1$	$De_2 = pe_1, \quad De_4 = qe_3$

Table 1. 4D symplectic Lie algebras giving rise to 5D cosymplectic Lie algebras (II)

We now turn our attention to five-dimensional strictly almost coKähler Lie algebras. By Theorem 3.9, they arise from four-dimensional strictly almost Kähler Lie algebras, together with a derivation D such that D is an infinitesimal symplectic transformation. Let $\mathfrak{h}$ denote a four-dimensional strictly almost Kähler Lie algebra, that is, one admitting almost Kähler but not Kähler structures. By [6], $\mathfrak{h}$ is isomorphic to one of the following:

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathfrak{rh}_3, \quad \mathfrak{r}'_2, \quad \mathfrak{rr}_{3,-1}, \quad \mathfrak{n}_4, \quad \mathfrak{r}_{4,0}, \quad \mathfrak{r}_{4,-1}, \quad \mathfrak{r}_{4,-1,\beta}\ (-1 \le \beta < 0), \\ &\mathfrak{r}_{4,\bar\alpha,-\bar\alpha}\ (-1 < \bar\alpha < 0), \quad \mathfrak{d}_{4,1}, \quad \mathfrak{d}_{4,\lambda}\ (\lambda > \tfrac{1}{2},\ \lambda \neq 1, 2), \quad \mathfrak{h}_4. \end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

For each of strictly almost Kähler Lie algebras listed in (5.1), the corresponding symplectic 2-form Ω and i.s.t. derivations D are the ones listed in the previous Table 1. By Theorem 4.3, each five-dimensional strictly almost coKähler Lie algebra is then isomorphic to an almost coKähler Lie algebra arising from one of such strictly almost Kähler examples. Moreover, we observe that each of the above Lie algebras admits a family of compatible almost complex structures J, which depends on at least four parameters. Thus, we get the following.

Theorem 5.2. *Let $(\mathfrak{g},\varphi,\xi,\eta,g)$ be a five-dimensional strictly almost coKähler Lie algebra. Then, $(\mathfrak{g},\varphi,\xi,\eta,g)$ is isomorphic to one of almost coKähler Lie algebras arising, as in Theorem* 3.13*, from one of the quadruples $(\mathfrak{h},\Omega,J,D)$, where*

(a) *$\mathfrak{h}$ is one of Lie algebras listed in* (5.1)*;*

(b) *its symplectic 2-form Ω and derivation D are as listed in Table 1;*

(c) *J is a compatible almost complex structure.*

Comparing Theorems 3.9 and 3.12, one can find examples of almost α-coKähler Lie algebras with $\mathcal{L}_\xi\varphi\neq 0$, considering strictly almost Kähler Lie algebras $(\mathfrak{h},J,h)$ (equivalently, $(\mathfrak{h},\Omega,J)$) together with a derivation D such that $D+\alpha I$ is an i.s.t. but $DJ\neq JD$. We report below some explicit examples.

Example 5.3. Almost coKähler not K-cosymplectic Lie algebras from $\mathfrak{h}_4$. We consider the following four-dimensional symplectic non-Kähler Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{h},\Omega)$ [6] and choose on it a compatible almost complex structure J:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{h}:=\mathfrak{h}_4:\ & [e_1,e_2]=e_3,\quad [e_4,e_3]=e_3,\quad [e_4,e_1]=\tfrac{1}{2}e_1,\quad [e_4,e_2]=e_1+\tfrac{1}{2}e_2,\\ & \Omega=\varepsilon(e^{12}-e^{34}),\quad \varepsilon=\pm1,\qquad Je_1=-e_2,\quad Je_3=e_4.\end{aligned}$$

Standard calculations yield that i.s.t. $D\in Der(\mathfrak{h})$ are completely described by

$$De_1=De_3=0,\quad De_2=pe_1,\quad De_4=qe_3.$$

Moreover, for $(p,q)\neq(0,0)$ we get nontrivial derivations which do not satisfy $DJ=JD$. Consequently, applying Theorems 3.9 and 3.12, we conclude that $(\mathfrak{h},\Omega,J)$ gives rise to a family of five-dimensional strictly almost coKähler (not K-cosymplectic) Lie algebras $(\mathfrak{g},\varphi,\xi,\eta,g)$, depending on two parameters $(p,q)\neq(0,0)$, explicitly described by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{g}:\ & [e_0,e_2]=pe_1,\quad [e_0,e_4]=qe_3,\\ & [e_1,e_2]=e_3,\quad [e_4,e_3]=e_3,\quad [e_4,e_1]=\tfrac{1}{2}e_1,\quad [e_4,e_2]=e_1+\tfrac{1}{2}e_2,\\ & \xi=e_0,\quad \varphi(\xi)=0,\ \varphi|_{\mathfrak{h}}=J,\quad \eta=e^0,\quad \Phi=\varepsilon(e^{12}-e^{34}),\ \varepsilon=\pm1.\end{aligned}$$

Example 5.4. Almost α-coKähler Lie algebras with $\mathcal{L}_\xi\varphi\neq 0$ from $\mathfrak{r}_2'$. We start with the following four-dimensional symplectic non-Kähler Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{h}=\mathfrak{r}_2',\Omega)$ (see also [6]) and choose on it a compatible almost complex structure J:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{r}_2':\ & [e_1,e_3]=e_3,\quad [e_1,e_4]=e_4,\quad [e_2,e_3]=e_4,\quad [e_2,e_4]=-e_3,\\ & \Omega=e^{14}+e^{23},\qquad Je_3=e_2,\quad Je_4=e_1.\end{aligned}$$

By straightforward calculations we find that derivations $D\in Der(\mathfrak{h})$ such that $D+\alpha I$ is an i.s.t., are completely described by

$$De_1=pe_3+qe_4,\quad De_2=-qe_3+pe_4,\quad De_3=-2\alpha e_3,\quad De_4=-2\alpha e_4. \tag{5.2}$$

We note that if $\alpha\neq 0$ then for any $p,q\in\mathbb{R}$ the above are nontrivial derivations, for which $DJ\neq JD$. Moreover, if $\alpha=0$ then the above are nontrivial derivations for which $DJ\neq JD$ for any $(p,q)\neq(0,0)$. Therefore, applying Theorems 3.9 and 3.12, we conclude that $(\mathfrak{h},\Omega,J)$ gives rise to a family of five-dimensional strictly almost α-coKähler Lie algebras $(\mathfrak{g},\varphi,\xi,\eta,g)$ with $\mathcal{L}_\xi\varphi\neq 0$, depending on two parameters p,q

($\neq (0,0)$ if $\alpha = 0$), explicitly described by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{g}: \quad & [e_0,e_1] = pe_3 + qe_4, \quad [e_0,e_2] = -qe_3 + pe_4, \quad [e_0,e_3] = -2\alpha e_3, \quad [e_0,e_4] = -2\alpha e_4\\ & [e_1,e_2] = e_3, \quad [e_4,e_3] = e_3, \quad [e_4,e_1] = \tfrac{1}{2}e_1, \quad [e_4,e_2] = e_1 + \tfrac{1}{2}e_2,\\ & \xi = e_0, \quad \varphi(\xi) = 0,\ \varphi|_{\mathfrak{h}} = J, \quad \eta = e^0, \quad \Phi = e^{14} + e^{23}.\end{aligned}$$

Applying the same argument, we can use Theorem 4.6 to classify five-dimensional strictly K-cosymplectic Lie algebras, starting again from the non-isomorphic examples of four-dimensional strictly almost Kähler Lie algebras $(\mathfrak{h}, J, h)$ (equivalently, $(\mathfrak{h}, \Omega, J)$) as classified in [6], and considering their i.s.t. $D \in Der(\mathfrak{h})$ such that D commutes with J (Theorem 3.12). In this way, we prove the following.

Theorem 5.5. *Let $(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be a five-dimensional strictly K-cosymplectic Lie algebra. Then, $(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is isomorphic to one of Lie algebras arising, as in Theorem* 3.12*, from one of the almost Kähler Lie algebras listed in* (5.1)*, together with their symplectic 2-form Ω as described in Table* 1*, any almost complex structures J compatible with Ω, and the trivial derivation $D = 0$.*

Finally, by Theorem 3.13, we know that five-dimensional coKähler Lie algebras can be constructed starting from four-dimensional Kähler Lie algebras $(\mathfrak{h}, J, h)$ admitting an i.s.t. $D \in Der(\mathfrak{h})$ satisfying $DJ = JD$. The classification of non-isomorphic (non-abelian) four-dimensional pseudo-Kähler Lie algebras was given in [19, Proposition 3.3]. Among them, the ones admitting a definite positive inner product (and so, Kähler structures) are classified in [6, Table 2]. Using this result and Theorem 4.3, we prove the following.

Theorem 5.6. *Let $(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be a five-dimensional coKähler Lie algebra. If $\ker\eta$ is not abelian, then $(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is isomorphic to one of coKähler Lie algebras arising, as in Theorem* 3.13*, from one of the quadruples $(\mathfrak{h}, \Omega, J, D)$ listed in the following Table* 2*, where $(\mathfrak{h}, \Omega, J)$ is a four-dimensional Kähler Lie algebra and D a suitable derivation of $\mathfrak{h}$.*

$\mathfrak{h}$	Symplectic 2-forms Ω	$J = \varphi\|_{\mathfrak{h}}$	$D = \mathrm{ad}_\xi\|_{\mathfrak{h}}$ i.s.t. with $DJ = JD$
$\mathfrak{rr}_{3,0}$	$a_{12}e^{12} + a_{34}e^{34},\ a_{12}, a_{34} < 0$	$Je_1 = e_2,\ Je_3 = e_4$	$De_3 = -pe_4,\ De_4 = pe_3$
$\mathfrak{rr}'_{3,0}$	$a_{14}e^{14} + a_{23}e^{23},\ a_{14}, a_{23} < 0$	$Je_1 = e_4,\ Je_2 = e_3$	$De_2 = -pe_3,\ De_3 = pe_2$
$\mathfrak{r}_2\mathfrak{r}_2$	$a_{12}e^{12} + a_{34}e^{34},\ a_{12}, a_{34} < 0$	$Je_1 = e_2,\ Je_3 = e_4$	$D = 0$
$\mathfrak{r}'_{4,0,\delta}$ $\delta > 0$	$a_{14}e^{14} + a_{23}e^{23},\ a_{14} > 0, a_{23} < 0$ $a_{14}e^{14} + a_{23}e^{23},\ a_{14}, a_{23} > 0$	$Je_4 = e_1,\ Je_2 = e_3$ $Je_4 = e_1,\ Je_3 = e_2$	$De_2 = -pe_3,\ De_3 = pe_2$
$\mathfrak{d}_{4,2}$	$a_{14}e^{14} + a_{23}e^{23},\ a_{14}, a_{23} < 0$	$Je_1 = e_4,\ Je_2 = e_3$	$D = 0$
$\mathfrak{d}_{4,\frac{1}{2}}$	$a_{12}(e^{12} - e^{34}),\ a_{12} < 0$	$Je_1 = e_2,\ Je_4 = e_3$	$De_1 = -pe_2,\ De_2 = pe_1$
$\mathfrak{d}'_{4,\delta}$ $\delta > 0$	$a_{12}(e^{12} - \delta e^{34}),\ a_{12} < 0$ $a_{12}(e^{12} - \delta e^{34}),\ a_{12} > 0$	$Je_1 = e_2,\ Je_4 = e_3$ $Je_2 = e_1,\ Je_3 = e_4$	$De_1 = -pe_2,\ De_2 = pe_1$

Table 2. 4D Kähler Lie algebras giving rise to 5D coKähler Lie algebras

Example 5.7. α-Kenmotsu Lie algebras. Consider the abelian Kähler Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{h}, \Omega, J)$, where $\mathfrak{h} = span(e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4)$, $\Omega = e^{31} + e^{42}$ and $Je_1 = e_3$, $Je_2 = e_4$ is a compatible complex structure.

By direct calculations we find that derivations $D \in Der(\mathfrak{h})$ such that $D + \alpha I$ is an i.s.t. and $DJ = JD$, are of the form

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} -\alpha & p & q & r \\ -p & -\alpha & r & s \\ -q & -r & -\alpha & p \\ -r & -s & -p & -\alpha \end{pmatrix}.$$

Consequently, applying Theorem 3.13, we conclude that from $(\mathfrak{h}, \Omega, J)$ one can construct a family of five-dimensional α-Kenmotsu Lie algebras $(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$, depending, for each given $\alpha \neq 0$, on four parameters:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{g}: \quad & [e_0, e_1] = -\alpha e_1 - p e_2 - q e_3 - r e_4, \quad [e_0, e_2] = p e_1 - \alpha e_2 - r e_3 - s e_4, \\ & [e_0, e_3] = q e_1 + r e_2 - \alpha e_3 - p e_4, \quad [e_0, e_4] = r e_1 + s e_2 + p e_3 - \alpha e_4, \\ & \xi = e_0, \quad \varphi(\xi) = 0, \ \varphi|_{\mathfrak{h}} = J, \quad \eta = e^0, \quad \Phi = e^{31} + e^{42}. \end{aligned}$$

6. Concluding remarks

A *Sasakian structure* on an odd-dimensional manifold M is a normal contact metric structure (φ, ξ, η, g), that is, a normal almost contact metric structure such that $d\eta = \Phi$.

As such, clearly Sasakian structures are as far as possible from coKähler structures, for which $d\eta = 0$. Nevertheless, CoKähler and Sasakian structures are both considered as odd-dimensional counterparts to Kähler structures. Which one of them is the most natural analogue to Kähler structures, is actually the topic of debate among researchers.

The results of this paper give a contribution to this debate, showing that with regard to left-invariant structures on Lie groups, the link between Kähler and coKähler structures seems to be stronger and straightforward than the one between Kähler and Sasakian structures. In fact, any coKähler Lie algebra arises from a Kähler structure together with a suitable derivation (Theorem 3.13). On the other hand, Sasakian structures can be built by contactization of a Kähler Lie algebra [1], and in particular, all Sasakian Lie algebras with nontrivial center can be built in this way, but there also exist Sasakian Lie algebras with trivial center, which do not arise from a corresponding Kähler Lie algebra.

The same fact happens for the wider corresponding classes of K-cosymplectic and K-*contact* Lie algebras (that is, contact metric Lie algebras whose characteristic vector field is Killing). In fact, we can build any K-cosymplectic Lie algebra from a corresponding almost Kähler Lie algebra with some suitable derivation (Theorem 3.12), while there exist K-contact Lie algebras (the ones with trivial center) which do not arise from any almost Kähler Lie algebra [6].

References

[1] A. Andrada, A. Fino, L. Vezzoni, *A class of Sasakian 5-manifolds*, Transf. Groups, **14** (2009), 493–512.

[2] G. Bazzoni, M Fernandez and V. Muñoz, *Non-formal co-symplectic manifolds*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **367** (2015), 4459–4481.

[3] G. Bazzoni and O. Goertsches, *K-cosymplectic manifolds*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **47** (2015), 239–270.
[4] D.E. Blair, *The theory of quasi-Sasakian structures*, J. Diff. Geom. **1** (1967), 331–345.
[5] D.E. Blair, *Riemannian Geometry of Contact and Symplectic Manifolds*, Progress in Math. **203**, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2010.
[6] G. Calvaruso and A. Fino, *Five-dimensional K-contact Lie algebras*, Monatsh. Math. **167** (2012), 35–59.
[7] B. Cappelletti-Montano, A. De Nicola and I. Yudin, *A survey on cosymplectic geometry*, Rev. Math. Phys. **25** (2013), no. 10, 1343002, 55 pp.
[8] B.Y. Chu, *Symplectic homogeneous spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **197** (1974), 145–159.
[9] P. Dacko, *Note on left invariant almost cosymplectic structures*, Period. Math. Hungar. **38** (1999), 167–171.
[10] G. Di Leo, *A classification of certain almost α-Kenmotsu manifolds*, Kodai Math. J. **34**,3 (2011), 426–445.
[11] A. Fino and L. Vezzoni, *Some results on cosymplectic manifolds*, Geom. Dedicata **151** (2011), 41–58.
[12] Y. Khakimdjanov, M. Goze and A. Medina, *Symplectic or contact structures on Lie groups*, Diff. Geom. Appl. **21** (2004) 41–54.
[13] H.-C. Lee, *A kind of even-dimensional differential geometry and its applications to exterior calculus*, Amer. J. Math. **65** (1943), 433–438.
[14] H. Li, *Topology of co-symplectic/co-Kähler manifolds*, Asian J. Math. **12** (2008), 527–543.
[15] P. Libermann, *Sur les automorphismes infinitésimaux des structures symplectiques et des structures de contact*, Colloque Géom. Diff. Globale (Bruxelles, 1958), Centre Belge Rech. Math., Louvain, 1959, pp. 37–59.
[16] P. Libermann, *Sur quelques exemples de structures pfaffiennes et presque cosymplectiques*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. **60** (1962), 153–172.
[17] Z. Olszak, *Locally conformal cosymplectic manifolds,* Colloq. Math. **57** (1989), 73–87.
[18] G. Ovando, *Four-dimensional symplectic Lie algebras*, Beiträge Algebra Geom. **47** (2006), no. 2, 419–434.
[19] G. Ovando, *Invariant pseudo-Kähler metrics in dimension four*, J. Lie Theory **16** (2006), no. 2, 371–391.
[20] I. Vaisman, *On locally conformal almost Kähler manifolds*, Israel J. Math., **24** (1976), 338–351.
[21] I. Vaisman, *Conformal changes of almost contact metric structures*, Geometry and differential geometry (Proc. Conf., Univ. Haifa, Haifa, 1979), 435–443, Lecture Notes in Math. **792**, Springer, Berlin, 1980.

Dipartimento di Matematica e Fisica "E. De Giorgi", Università del Salento, Prov. Lecce-Arnesano, 73100, Lecce, Italy.
E-mail address: giovanni.calvaruso@unisalento.it; perroneantonella@outlook.it